

Attorney Docket No: 219507-000031

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

rss Mail" mailing label number EV234178665US f Deposit
by certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the
States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to see" service under 37 CFR § 1.10 on the date indicated and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, ngton, D.C. 20231.
hie Warner-Wallace Nof printed name of person mailing paper for fee
the of person mailing paper or feet
7

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Attention: Office of Petitions Assistant Commissioner of Patents BOX DAC Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith in the above-identified application are the following documents:

- 1. Revocation of Power of Attorney, Appointment of New Attorneys and Certificate Under 37 C.F.R. §3.73(b);
- 2. Petition for Revival of Abandoned Application (Unintentional) Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b);
- 3. Copy of Response to Office Action filed on January 29, 2001;
- 4. Check in the amount of \$1,300.00 (petition fee); and
- 5. Return postcard acknowledging receipt.

RECEIVED

JAN 2 4 2003

Applicant(s): Dale C. McCarthy

Serial No.:

09/330,381

Page 2

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 18-2284 of Piper Rudnick. This sheet is transmitted in duplicate.

Respectfully submitted,

Aimee E. McVady

Reg. No. 48,720

29465

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

PIPER RUDNICK

P.O. Box 64807 Chicago, Illinois 60664-0807 (312) 368-4000

RECEIVED

JAN 2 4 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS





SALIWANCHIK, LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK

A Professional Association 2421 N.W. 41st Street Suite A-1

Gainesville, FL 32606

Telephone (352) 375-8100 Facsimile (352) 372-5800 #12

The information contained in this facsimile message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipients named below. This message may be an attorney-client communication, and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message by mail. Thank you.

TO:

Examiner Khiem Nguyen

COMPANY:

U. S. Patent Office, Group Art Unit 2839

FAX NO.:

(703) 305-3431

FROM:

James S. Parker

DATE:

January 29, 2001

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER SHEET): 13

MESSAGE:

Re: Serial No. 09/330,381; filed June 11, 1999 for Battery Terminal U.S. Patent Application Docket No. CTI-101C1C3
Petition and Fee for Three Month Extension of Time;
Amendment Under 37 CFR 1.111;
Terminal Disclaimer;
Amendment Transmittal Letter

PLEASE DELIVER TO EXAMINER NGUYEN IMMEDIATELY

**************************************	TRANSACTION REPORT		14N-29-200	(*************************************
* * FOR: SALIWANCHIK, LLOYD&SALIWA	352 37	72 5800	(misteris fox)	THE THE
DATE START RECEIVER	TX TIME	PAGES TYP		M# DP
JAN-29 04:42 PM 17033053431	46"	1) SEN	D OK	095
		TOTAL :	46S PAGES:	1

7

Docket No. CTI-101C1C3 Serial No. 09/330,381

no annular space is to be left between them. In particular, page 2, lines 97-102 of the Britain reference states that sleeve (16) and sleeve (15) can cooperate without leaving the annular space, with the only consequence being that the metal casing (12) would not extend beyond the adjacent end of sleeve (16). Therefore, there is no suggestion or motivation to incorporate sleeve (15) from the Britain reference into the apparatus taught in the Barth reference and, in particular, no suggestion or motivation to incorporate sleeve (15) without sleeve (16).

Accordingly, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-6, 9-15, and 17-19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

Claims 7-8 and 16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barth in view of Britian '156 as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of Gourley et al. The Office Action states that Barth in view of Britain '156 discloses the claimed instant invention except an electrical conductive prong having two ends. As discussed above, the applicant asserts that the Barth reference in view of the Britain '156 reference does not disclose the claimed instant invention except an electrical conductive prong having two ends. The Gourley et al. reference does not cure this defect. Accordingly, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal